APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 17 May 2022

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWT-2019-00689
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Oklahoma County/parish/borough: Sequoyah City: Sallisaw Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 35.41322° N, Long94.74164° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Wolf Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Arkansas River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 11110104; Robert S. Kerr Reservoir. Arkansas, Oklahoma. Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 17 May 2022 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 4 APR 2022
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: Please see the attached spreadsheet: 2,053 linear feet: 3 width (ft) and/or 0.14 acres. Wetlands: 0.11 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: P1 is mapped on USGS topographic map with no connectivity to downstream waters. The delineation report

dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to downstream waters. Therefore, P1 is determined to be a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. P2 is not mapped on USGS topographic map. The delineation report dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

downstream waters. Therefore, P2 is determined to be a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.S4 and S5 are not mapped on USGS topographic map. During the site visit on 4 APR 2022, S4 and S5 were observed to be located at a higher gradient than P1 and P2, with water flowing from the adjacent roadways with no connectivity to downstream waters. S4 and S5 were determined to be erosional features. Therefore, S4 and S5 were determined not to meet the definition of a tributary and are not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Arkansas River.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: An AJD was completed identifying the Arkansas River as a TNW.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 165 acres
Drainage area: 165 acres

Average annual rainfall: 47 inches Average annual snowfall: 4 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: The on-site waters do not cross or serve as state boundaries.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The on-site waters flow into Wolf Creek, which flows into Big Skin Bayou, which flows into Mud Slough, which flows into the Arkansas River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary stream order, if known:
	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: S1, S2, and S3 are within an area that has been man altered onstruction and grading activities.
due to pond e	
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 3 feet Average depth: 0.5 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
S2 and S3 we	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Portions of S1 exhibit evidence of erosion re not observed to have evidence of erosion with the banks appearing to be stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: S1, S2, and S3 were observed to contain riffle pool complexes. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2 %
and during pros1, during the March 2021,	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 11-20 Describe flow regime: S1 and S3 were observe to contain evidence of OHWM with flow at the time of the site visit edictable times on Google Earth aerial photography (March 1995, 2012, 2015). S2 was found to contain flowing water to a site visit on April 4, 2022. Google Earth aerial photograpy inticate water within the channel on aerials dated May 2008, and March 2016. Therefore, S1 and S3 have been determined to exhibit seasonal flow. S2 is determined to exhibit w (after rain events). Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: S1, S2, and S3 contain flow that is confined to the bed and banks.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Evidence of pollution was not observed within S1, S2, and S3, during the site visit on 4 APR 2022.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Portions of S1, S2, and S3 contain riparian areas, 25 ft to 200 ft Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: S1, S2, and S3 contain areas with trees and other vegetation on the
banks, which p	rovides habitat for a variety of species.
2. Chai	racteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size:0.11acres Wetland type. Explain: Emergent. Wetland quality. Explain:W1 is determined to be low quality due to impacts to the site and location adjacent to the
landfill.	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: The on-site waters do not cross or serve as state boundaries
	(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow. Explain: W1 flows into S1 after flood events. W1 is separated from S1 by a berm, which frequent water exchange between W1 and S1.
	Surface flow is: Discrete Characteristics: Flow from W1 to S1 occurs after flooding events.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain:
which was	Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: The site has been impacted in the past. W1 is separated from S1 by a berm, sobserved during the site visit on 4 APR 2022.
	(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Evidence of pollution within W1 was not observed during the site visit on 4 APR 2022. Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:W1 exhibits seasonal wet conditions, which provides habitat for a off species.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1

Approximately (0.11) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> W1 N 0.11

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: W1 acts as a small catchment area for sediments carried via storm water toward the abutting tributary. Sediments and attached nutrients, pollutants, and/or other elements become deposited and captured within the wetland, as opposed to flowing directly to the tributary in question and ultimately to the Arkansas River, a TNW. Due to the wetland's location, the hydrologic conditions, and the diverse vegetation present, the wetlands will host a diverse group of organisms.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:S2 is not mapped on USGS topographic map. During the site visit on 4 APR 2022, S2 was observed to contain evidence of OHWM, with a clearly defined channel, containing flowing water, and direct connectivity to S1 (an RPW). S2 carries sediments and attached nutrients, pollutants, and/or other elements to S1, downstream tributaries, and ultimately to the Arkansas River, a TNW, during flooding and rain events. Due to the direct hydrologic connectivity to S1 and the vegetation present along the banks, S2 hosts a diverse group of organisms by providing habitat and food for life cycles of many organisms. Therefore, S2 possesses a significant nexus to the Arkansas River.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: W1 flows into S1 (an RPW) during flood and other rain events, due to separation by a berm. W1 acts as a small catchment area for sediments carried via storm water toward a downstream tributary. Sediments and attached nutrients, pollutants, and/or other elements become deposited and captured within the wetland, as opposed to flowing to the tributary and ultimately to the Arkansas River, a TNW, during flooding and rain events. Due to the wetland's location within the landscape and in relation to S1, the hydrologic conditions, and the diverse vegetation present, the wetlands will host a diverse group of organisms by providing habitat and food for life cycles of many organisms. Therefore, W1 possess a significant nexus to the Arkansas River.

D.		FERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY):
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: S1 and S3 were observe to contain evidence of OHWM with flow at the time of the site visit (April 4, 2022) and on Google Earth aerial photography (March 1995, 2012, 2015, May 2008, June 2019). Since S1 is mapped on USGS topographic map, was observed to have evidence of OHWM and flowing water during the site visit (April 2022), and exhibted predictable flow events on aerial photography, S1 is determined to have continuous flow seasonally. Since S3 was observed to have evidence of OHWM and flowing water during the site visit (April 2022) and exhibted predictable flow events on aerial photography, S3 is determined to have continuous flow seasonally.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 1,891 linear feet 3 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 162 linear feet 1 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is
		seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.11 acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: Other factors. Explain: Other factors. Explain: Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:		7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: width (ft).	Е.	DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres. Wetlands: acres. Wetlands: acres. F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Enginee Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely o "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): P1 is mapped on USGS topographic map with no connectivity to downstream waters. Therefore, P1 is determine be a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. P2 is not non USGS topographic map. The delineation report dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to downstream water Therefore, P2 is determined to be a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. P2 is not non USGS topographic map. The delineation report dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to downstream water Therefore, P2 is determined to USGS topographic map. During the site visit on 4 APR 2022, S4 and S5 were observed to be located at a higher gradient than P1 and P2, with water flow coming from the adjacent roadways with no conne to downstream waters. S4 and S5 were determined to be erosional features. Therefore, S4 and S5 were determined to be erosional features. Therefore, S4 and S5 were determined to be erosional features. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MB factors (i.e.,		Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Enginee Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely o "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): P1 is mapped on USGS topographic map with no connectivity to downstream water. Check all the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. P2 is not in USGS topographic map. The delineation report dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to downstream water Therefore, P2 is determined to be a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. S1S4 and S5 are not mapped on USGS topographic map. During the site visit on 4 APR 2022, S4 and S5 were observed to be located at a higher gradient than P1 and P2, with water flow coming from the adjacent roadways with no connet definition of a tributary and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MB factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best profes judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, when a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Please see the attached spreadshe		Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MB factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best profes judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, when a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Please see the attached spreadsheet: 531 linear feet, 3 width (ft). Lakes/ponds: Please see the attached spreadsheet: 0.98 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	The be a on T The Cle obs	□ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. □ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. □ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). □ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: □ Other: (explain, if not covered above): P1 is mapped on USGS topographic map with no connectivity to downstream waters. delineation report dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to downstream waters. Therefore, P1 is determined to a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. P2 is not mapped USGS topographic map. The delineation report dated June 24, 2021, did not identify any connectivity to downstream waters. P2 is determined to be a pond excavated wholly in the uplands and is not jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the an Water Act. S1S4 and S5 are not mapped on USGS topographic map. During the site visit on 4 APR 2022, S4 and S5 were erved to be located at a higher gradient than P1 and P2, with water flow coming from the adjacent roadways with no connectivity lownstream waters. S4 and S5 were determined to be erosional features. Therefore, S4 and S5 were determined not to meet the
 Wetlands: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, when a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Please see the attached spreadsheet: 531 linear feet, 3 width (ft). Lakes/ponds: Please see the attached spreadsheet: 0.98 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . 	defi	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Wetlands: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Please see the attached spreadsheet: 531 linear feet, 3 width (ft). Lakes/ponds: Please see the attached spreadsheet: 0.98 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

١.	SUPI	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
	\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
		Corps navigable waters' study: .
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:11110104; Robert S. Kerr Reservoir. Arkansas, Oklahoma
	_	USGS NHD data.
		☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute USGS Topographic Map, 1:24,000, Sallisaw, OK.
	X	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.
		tion:https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx.
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html.
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
	Ħ	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	Ħ	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	\bowtie	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Aerial Photographs (March 1995, 2012, 2015, May 2008, June 2019).
		or Other (Name & Date):
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	H	Applicable/supporting case law:
	H	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	H	11 11 6
		Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Delineated				
Name	AJD Name	Туре	Length (If)	Acre
R4SB1 a and b	S1	RPW	1017	
EDF3	S2	Non-RPW	162	
R4SB2 a and b	S3	RPW	874	
EDF-2	S4	Erosional	418	
EDF-1	S5	Erosional	113	
PUB2	P1	Pond		0.28
PUB1	P2	Pond		0.7
PSS11	W1	Emergent		0.11